## A Social Security Math Problem

Dan Kalman American University (emeritus) <u>www.dankalman.net</u>

Go to home page for links to slides and an animated graph.

### Overview

- Full benefits available at age 66
- If initiation delayed, monthly benefit is higher
- Delay means foregoing some initial payments
- What is the breakeven point?
- How does the breakeven point depend on the length of the delay?

# Specifics

- Let *E* be the amount of full monthly benefit at age 66
- Delaying payment for *k* months increases monthly benefit by 2*k*/3 %
- Benefit is then E(1 + 2k/300) = E(1 + k/150)
- By age 66 + n months will have received T = (n - k)E(1 + k/150)
- With no delay, k = 0 and T = nE

# Example

Say k = 12. We want total with a 12 month delay to equal the total with no delay

$$(n - 12)E(1 + 12/150) = nE$$
  
 $(n - 12)(1.08) = n$   
 $0.08n = 12(1.08)$   
 $n = 12(1.08)/0.08$   
 $= 162$ 

- Conclusion: after delaying for 12 months, we have to receive 150 payments to break even
- Question: how does the break even point vary with *k*?

### Break Even Analysis

• For *k* > 0, want total with a *k* month delay to equal the total with no delay

(n - k)E(1 + k/150) = nE n(1 + k/150) - k(1 + k/150) = n n(1 + k/150) - n = k(1 + k/150) nk/150 = k(1 + k/150) n/150 = 1 + k/150 n = 150 + k

- Conclusion: break even point is always reached after 150 payments, independent of *k*
- That is, after receiving payments for 12.5 years

### Does That Make Sense?

- The longer the delay, the greater the increase in payments
- But you always break even after receiving exactly the same number of payments
- That doesn't seem right
- What is really going on?

#### Rationale

- WLOG, assume E = 1
- Each payment received is 1 + k/150
- We get an *extra k*/150 with each payment
- We missed k payments, so k dollars
- Have to make up those *k* dollars by adding up the *extra* amounts of *k*/150
- After 150 payments we will have made up *k* dollars

### Extension

- Waiting *k* months versus waiting j > k months
- Break even point: (n − k) payments at the k-month-delay rate equals (n − j) payments at the j-month-delay rate.
- Once you start receiving payments after the longer delay, how long will it take to break even?
- Answer: 150 + k (so n = 150 + k + j)
- Example: Compared to a 12 month delay, any longer delay breaks even after 162 payments at the higher rate.

# Greedy Analysis

- Goal: maximize total of payments received
- Assume death occurs at age 66 + m months
- With a k month delay, receive m kpayments of (1 + k/150)

• Total: 
$$T = (m - k)(1 + k/150)$$
  
=  $(m - k)(150 + k)/150$ 

- Quadratic in k with roots m and -150
- Max occurs at k = (m 150)/2

#### Greedy Analysis, cont.

- Max occurs at k = (m 150)/2 (vertex)
- Domain for *k* is [0,48]
- If  $m \le 150$ , impossible to reach breakeven point for any k > 0, so take k = 0
- The vertex is inaccessible if  $(m-150)/2 > 48 \iff m > 246$ In this case take k = 48
- For  $m \in [150, 246]$ , max occurs at  $k^* = (m 150)/2$

#### Translation

- If death occurs at or before age 78.5, don't delay starting ss payments
- If death occurs at or after age 86.5, delay starting ss payments for the maximum 4 years
- Otherwise, age at death is  $z \in [78.5, 86.5]$
- Optimal plan: delay by 6(z 78.5) months
- Example: z = 80, delay by  $6 \cdot 1.5 = 9$  months
- Example: z = 85, delay by  $6 \cdot 6.5 = 39$  months
- Great analysis if you can predict how long you will live!

### Geometry

- Total earnings function *T*(*k*) is a family of parabolas, parameterized by *m*
- On each parabola, restrict  $k \in [0, 48]$
- Varying *m* moves the vertex (*k*\*,*T*(*k*\*)) through this interval
- $k^* \le 0 \Rightarrow T$  decreases, max at left endpoint
- $k^* \ge 48 \Rightarrow T$  increases, max at right endpoint
- Otherwise, max at  $k^*$

#### **Desmos Animation**



https://www.desmos.com/calculator/vanovxjhs8